

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/611,709 | 07/01/2003 | Danny G. Hazel | 394900/00001 | 4455 | |
| 759 | 07/14/2004 | | EXAM | INER | |
| STEPTOE & JOHNSON PLLC | | | WILSON | WILSON, LEE D | |
| Sixth Floor | | | | | |
| Bank One Center | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| P.O. Box 2190 | | | 3723 | | |
| Clarkburg, WV 26302-2190 | | | DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/611,709 | HAZEL, DANNY G. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | LEE D WILSON | 3723 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDOI | timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-7,9-13 and 20-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,9-13 and 20-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summa | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/1/03. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/1/03. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/1/03. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/1/03. | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/611,709 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-13 in the reply filed on 6/1/04 is acknowledged.

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the term "means" appears in the text of the abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 13, 20, 23, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

٤,،

Application/Control Number: 10/611,709 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

a. Claims 13, 20, and 23 define the device with respect to the workpiece which may always be different; therefore, the claimed structure will not be known because the workpiece structure is changing.

b. Claim 26 is claiming the fishing tape in combination with the protective guide. The invention is the protective guide and not the fish tape which is being considered as the workpiece. IT is not clear how the protective guide structure is further limited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-7, 9-13, and 20-27are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipted by Nelson (4132665).

Nelson discloses a protective guide having a means (10) with a first and second end being a hollow tube-

In regard to claims 4 and 11, plastic is disclosed which reads of the Markush group.

In regard to claims 13, 20, 23, and 26, Nelson reads on these claims as best understood. The structure of these claims is indefinite or not really known so

Nelson is being read on the claims until the 112 rejection are resolved and clear structure is being recited.

3. Claims 1-2, 5-7, 9, 11-13, 20-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipted by Trunnell (3052450).

Trunnell discloses a protective guide having a means (10) with a first and second end being a hollow tube

In regard to claims 13, 20, 23, and 26, Trunnell reads on these claims as best understood. The structure of these claims is indefinite or not really known so Nelson is being read on the claims until the 112 rejection are resolved and clear structure is being recited.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schroeder, Bowden, and Muson sr disclose a device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D WILSON whose telephone number is 703-305-4094. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,709

Art Unit: 3723

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ldw

July 7, 2004

LEE D. WILSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER